

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,160	07/17/2003	John Andrews	718-001	1194
7590 03/24/2005			EXAMINER	
CLIFFORD G. FRAYNE			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM	
Suite 7A 136 Drum Point Road Brick, NJ 08723			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>U</i>)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment		10/621,160	ANDREWS, JOHN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Betelhem Shewareged	1774				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tion. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dateriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from statute, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .							
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 July 2003.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-7,11 and 12 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	<u> </u>	ments have been received. ments have been received in Applicate priority documents have been receive	tion No				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
			•				
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 11 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims
 and 12 recite the limitation "the method according to Claim 7" in line 2 of each claim.
 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate
 correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blanco (US 5,229,201).

Blanco discloses a decalcomania for decorating wood, masonite and stone comprising a backing layer coated with a water-soluble release material (col. 6, line 1), a design layer over the coated backing layer (col. 6, line 51), and a second coating layer over the design layer (col. 9, line 1). The backing layer is equivalent to the claimed first layer, the water-soluble release layer is equivalent to the claimed second layer, the design layer is equivalent to the claimed third layer, and the second coating layer is equivalent to the claimed fourth layer. The backing layer comprises a paper (col. 6, line 4). The design layer comprises metallic oxides in an oil medium (col. 7, line 51 thru col.

Art Unit: 1774

8, line 29). The second coating layer comprises absorbent medium such as nitrocellulose (col. 7, line 17), wherein the absorbent medium dissolves in a solvent such as alcohol, diacetone alcohol and butyl cellosolve (col. 7, lines 18-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blanco (US 5,229,201), as applied to claims 1-3, 5-7, 11 and 12, above, in further view of Beck (US 2,248,213).

Blanco does not teach the use of gum arabic for the water-soluble release layer.

Beck teaches a decalcomania comprising a mounting sheet, a separation coat comprising gum arabic, and a design coat in the order thereof (claim 1 and claim 4).

Blanco and Beck are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the decalcomania art. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the separate coat of Beck with the invention of Blanco so as to optimize the releasing property of the design layer. See claim 1 of Beck.

. -,-

Page 4

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Betelhem Shewareged

March 17, 2005.